





# South Eastern European Mathematical Olympiad for University Students

Iași, Romania - April 11, 2024

# Solutions and marking scheme

**Problem 1.** Let  $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$  be the sequence defined by  $x_1 \in (0,1)$  and  $x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{x_n^2}{\sqrt{n}}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Find the values of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  for which the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^{\alpha}$  is convergent.

### Solution:

By induction we deduce that  $x_n \in (0,1)$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . Let  $n \ge 1$ . From  $x_n - x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n^2}{\sqrt{n}}$  for all  $n \ge 1$  we deduce that  $1 - \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} = \frac{x_n}{\sqrt{n}}$  and since  $0 < \frac{x_n}{\sqrt{n}} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ ,  $\forall n \ge 1$  we deduce that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{x_n}{\sqrt{n}} = 0$  and hence  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} = 1$ . Now let  $n \ge 1$ . By the recurence relation we have  $\frac{1}{x_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{x_n} = \frac{x_n - x_{n+1}}{x_n x_{n+1}} = \frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$  which implies that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{x_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{x_n}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}} = 1.$$

Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}}\right)=\infty$  by the Stolz-Cesaro lemma it follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{x_n}}{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}}} = 1.$$

Now if we use that, again by the Stolz-Cesaro lemma

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \dots + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n}} = \frac{1}{2}$$

we get  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\frac{1}{x_n}}{\sqrt{n}}=2$  and hence  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{x_n^{\alpha}}{\frac{1}{n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}}=2^{-\alpha}$ . By the comparison criterion for the positive series it follows that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}x_n^{\alpha}$  is convergent if and only if the  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}$  is convergent that is if and only if  $\frac{\alpha}{2}>1$ ,  $\alpha>2$ .

#### Marking scheme:

First remark: Using the Stolz-Cesaro lemma to prove that  $x_n \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$  generates **6p**, since it replaces parts III and IV from the previous mentioned mark scheme.

Second remark: Using the Stolz-Cesaro lemma without arguing that the denominator is increasing and unbounded generates only **5p**.

Third remark: Claiming that  $x_n \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$  without a proof will only generate **1p**, which is **not** additive with V.

**Problem 2.** Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$  two real, symmetric matrices with nonnegative eigenvalues. Prove that  $A^3 + B^3 = (A + B)^3$  if and only if  $AB = \mathcal{O}_n$ .

Solution (Author): If  $AB = \mathcal{O}_n$  then

$$AB = \mathcal{O}_n = (AB)^T = B^T A^T = BA$$

therefore A and B commute and

$$(A+B)^3 = A^3 + B^3 + 3AB(A+B) = A^3 + B^3.$$

Assume now that  $A^3 + B^3 = (A + B)^3$ . Since the trace operator is linear and invariant under cyclic permutations it follows that

$$Tr(ABA) + Tr(BAB) = 0. (1)$$

We recall that a real, symmetric matrix M has nonnegative eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$  i.e. M is positive semidefinite if and only if M can be decomposed as a product  $M = Q^T Q$  for some real matrix Q. Moreover, if for such a matrix  $\operatorname{Tr} M = 0$  then  $M = \mathcal{O}_n$ . Let  $U, V \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $A = U^T U$  and  $B = V^T V$ . Then, using the symmetry of A and B we get

$$ABA = AV^TVA = (VA)^T(VA)$$
  $BAB = BU^TUB = (UB)^T(UB)$ 

so  $\text{Tr}(ABA) \ge 0$  and  $\text{Tr}(BAB) \ge 0$ . From (1) it follows that we must have Tr(ABA) = Tr(BAB) = 0 and therefore  $ABA = BAB = \mathcal{O}_n$ .

In particular, for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$||VAx||^2 = x^T (VA)^T (VA)x = x^T ABAx = 0$$

so  $VA = \mathcal{O}_n$ . Again, for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$||ABx||^2 = x^T (AB)^T (AB)x = x^T V^T (VA)ABx = 0$$

and, finally, we find  $AB = \mathcal{O}_n$ .

Alternative solution (2). For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have, on account of B being positive semidefinite  $\langle Bx, x \rangle \geq 0$  and equality holds only for  $x \in \ker B$ . But then  $(ABA)^T = ABA$  and

$$\langle ABAx, x \rangle = \langle BAx, Ax \rangle > 0$$

so ABA is positive semidefinite and  $\text{Tr}(ABA) \geq 0$ . In the same manner we get BAB as positive semidefinite and  $\text{Tr}(ABA) \geq 0$  which leads to Tr(ABA) = Tr(BAB) = 0 and, next, to  $ABA = BAB = \mathcal{O}_n$ . Finally, for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$0 = \langle BABx, x \rangle = \langle ABx, Bx \rangle$$

which implies  $Bx \in \ker A$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , which concludes the proof.

#### Marking scheme:

**Problem 3.** For every  $n \ge 1$  define  $x_n$  by

$$x_n = \int_0^1 \ln(1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^n) \cdot \ln \frac{1}{1 - x} dx, \quad n \ge 1.$$

- a) Show that  $x_n$  is finite for every  $n \ge 1$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = 2$ .
- b) Calculate  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{\ln n} (2-x_n)$ .

**Solution.** a) For all  $n \ge 1$  and  $x \in [0, 1)$ ,

$$\frac{1}{1-x} \ge 1$$
 and  $0 \le \ln(1+x+x^2+\ldots+x^n) \cdot \ln \frac{1}{1-x} \le \ln n \cdot \ln \frac{1}{1-x}$ .

Since  $\int_0^1 \ln \frac{1}{1-x} dx$  is convergent (to 1, by a direct computation), it follows that the sequence is well-defined.

Next, the sequence of functions  $f_n(x) = \ln(1 + x + x^2 + \ldots + x^n) \cdot \ln \frac{1}{1 - x}$  satisfies:

$$0 \le f_n(x) \le f_{n+1}(x)$$
, for all  $x \in [0, 1)$  and  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \ln \frac{1 - x^{n+1}}{1 - x} \cdot \ln \frac{1}{1 - x} \right) = \ln^2 \frac{1}{1 - x}, \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, 1).$$

It follows by the Lebesgue-Beppo-Levi theorem (of monotone convergence) that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^1 f_n(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_0^1 \ln^2 \frac{1}{1 - x} \, \mathrm{d}x = 2$$

(the last equality follows by an elementary computation).

b) From (a),

$$2 - x_n = \int_0^1 \left( \ln^2 \frac{1}{1 - x} - \ln \frac{1 - x^{n+1}}{1 - x} \cdot \ln \frac{1}{1 - x} \right) dx = \int_0^1 \ln(1 - x^{n+1}) \cdot \ln(1 - x) dx$$

and with the change of variable  $y = x^{n+1}$ , it follows that

$$2 - x_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \int_0^1 \ln(1-y) \cdot \ln\left(1 - y^{\frac{1}{n+1}}\right) \cdot y^{\frac{1}{n+1}-1} \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

By shifting the index, for convenience, it follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{\ln n} (2 - x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n - 1}{\ln(n - 1)} (2 - x_{n - 1})$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n - 1}{n} \cdot \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln n}{\ln(n - 1)} \cdot \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\ln n} \int_0^1 \ln(1 - y) \cdot \ln\left(1 - y^{\frac{1}{n}}\right) \cdot y^{\frac{1}{n} - 1} \, dy$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(1 - y)}{y} \cdot \frac{y^{\frac{1}{n}} \ln(1 - y^{\frac{1}{n}})}{\ln n} \, dy.$$

We want to verify the conditions in the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, so consider

$$g_n(y) = \frac{\ln(1-y)}{y} \cdot \frac{y^{\frac{1}{n}} \ln(1-y^{\frac{1}{n}})}{\ln n}$$
, for  $y \in (0,1)$ , and  $n \ge 2$ .

The pointwise convergence follows in a standard manner: we start from

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{y^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1}{\frac{1}{n}} = \ln y, \quad \text{hence} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} n \left( 1 - y^{\frac{1}{n}} \right) = \ln \frac{1}{y} > 0,$$

which leads to

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \ln \left( 1 - y^{\frac{1}{n}} \right) + \ln n \right) = \ln \left( \ln \frac{1}{y} \right).$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(y) = \frac{\ln(1-y)}{y} \cdot \lim_{n \to \infty} y^{\frac{1}{n}} \cdot \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\ln\left(1-y^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)}{\ln n}$$

$$= \frac{\ln(1-y)}{y} \cdot \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{\ln\left(1-y^{\frac{1}{n}}\right) + \ln n}{\ln n} - 1\right)$$

$$= \frac{\ln(1-y)}{y} \left(\ln\left(\ln\frac{1}{y}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\infty} - 1\right) = -\frac{\ln(1-y)}{y}, \text{ for all } y \in (0,1).$$

To check the domination condition, let  $g(t) = -\ln(1-t) = \ln\frac{1}{1-t}$ , for  $t \in [0,1)$ . Note that g is positive. Since  $0 \le y^{\frac{1}{n}} \le 1$ , it follows that

$$0 \le g_n(y) \le \frac{\ln(1-y)}{y} \cdot \frac{\ln\left(1-y^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)}{\ln n} = \frac{g(y)}{y} \cdot \frac{g\left(y^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)}{\ln n}, \quad \text{for all } n \ge 2 \text{ and } y \in (0,1).$$

From

$$g(t) - g(t^n) = \ln \frac{1 - t^n}{1 - t} = \ln(1 + t + \dots + t^{n-1}) \le \ln n$$
, for all  $t \in (0, 1)$  and  $n \ge 1$ ,

it follows that  $g\left(y^{\frac{1}{n}}\right) - g(y) \leq \ln n$ , hence

$$\frac{g\left(y^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)}{\ln n} \le 1 + \frac{g(y)}{\ln n} \le 1 + g(y), \quad \text{for all } n \ge 3.$$
 (2)

Combining (1) and (2) and replacing g, we finally obtain

$$0 \le g_n(y) \le \frac{\ln^2(1-y) - \ln(1-y)}{y}$$
, for all  $n \ge 3$  and  $y \in (0,1)$ .

It is an elementary exercise to check that  $\int_0^1 \frac{\ln^2(1-y) - \ln(1-y)}{y} dy$  is convergent, which concludes the proof of the domination condition and establishes that

$$L = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{\ln n} (2 - x_n) = -\int_0^1 \frac{\ln(1 - y)}{y} \, dy = \frac{\pi^2}{6},$$

where the last equality is a well know result, that can be obtained by integrating the Maclaurin series of  $-\frac{\ln(1-y)}{y}$  and then using Euler's identity  $\sum_{n>1} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ .

#### Marking scheme:

- Apply a convergence theorem (e.g., Beppo-Levi monotone convergence) for the sequence of functions

$$f_n(x) = \ln(1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^n) \cdot \ln \frac{1}{1 - x}, \quad x \in [0, 1) \text{ and } n \ge 1$$

b)

• Use the change of variable 
$$y = x^{n+1}$$
, and rewrite
$$\frac{n}{\ln n}(2 - x_n) = \frac{n}{n+1} \cdot \frac{\ln(n+1)}{\ln n} \int_0^1 \frac{\ln(1-y)}{y} \cdot \frac{y^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \ln(1-y^{\frac{1}{n+1}})}{\ln(n+1)} \, dy \qquad \dots \dots 1 \text{ p}$$

$$g_n(y) = \frac{\ln(1-y)}{y} \cdot \frac{y^{\frac{1}{n}} \ln(1-y^{\frac{1}{n}})}{\ln n}, \text{ for } y \in (0,1) \text{ and } n \ge 2$$

• Apply a convergence theorem to obtain that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{\ln n} (2 - x_n) = \int_0^1 \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(y) \, dy = -\int_0^1 \frac{\ln(1 - y)}{y} \, dy \qquad \dots \qquad 2 p^*$$

(\*0.5 p for choosing a convergence theorem and stating the conditions that need to be verified, without completing the corresponding computations)

E.g., use the Lebesgue dominated convergence with the domination

$$0 \le g_n(y) \le \frac{\ln^2(1-y) - \ln(1-y)}{y}$$
, for all  $n \ge 3$  and  $y \in (0,1)$ 

and check that  $\int_0^1 \frac{\ln^2(1-y) - \ln(1-y)}{y} \, dy$  is convergent.

(\*0.5 p for the value of the integral, without proof)

**Problem 4.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Find all the values  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , for which the following statement holds:

"If 
$$A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$$
 is such that  $A^k A^* = A$ , then  $A = A^*$ ." (\*)

(here,  $A^* = \overline{A}^t$  denotes the transpose conjugate of A).

**Solution (Author).** First, we limit the range of the possible values for k, by choosing  $A = \varepsilon I_n$ , with suitable  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\varepsilon| = 1$ , such that the implication in (\*) is false, so we ask that  $A^k A^* = A$ , but  $A \neq A^*$ . Then  $\varepsilon I_n = A = A^k A^* = \varepsilon^k \overline{\varepsilon} I_n = \varepsilon^{k-1} I_n$  and  $\varepsilon I_n = A \neq A^* = \overline{\varepsilon} I_n$ , which are equivalent to  $\varepsilon^{k-2} = 1$  and  $\varepsilon \notin \mathbb{R}$ . In consequence,

- if k=2, then let  $\varepsilon=i$ .
- if  $k \geq 5$ , then let  $\varepsilon = \cos \frac{2\pi}{k-2} + i \sin \frac{2\pi}{k-2} \notin \mathbb{R}$  (since  $\frac{2\pi}{k-2} \in (0,\pi)$ ).

This means that  $k \in \{1, 3, 4\}$ . We prove next that the statement (\*) is true for these values of k. For k = 1, if  $A \cdot A^* = A$ , then  $A^* = (A \cdot A^*)^* = (A^*)^* \cdot A^* = A \cdot A^* = A$ , so (\*) is true. For  $k \in \{3, 4\}$ , we provide two methods.

#### First method.

 $A^kA^* = A$  implies that rank  $A = \operatorname{rank}(A^kA^*) \leq \operatorname{rank} A^k \leq \operatorname{rank} A$ , so  $\operatorname{rank} A^k = \operatorname{rank} A = \operatorname{rank} A^*$ . By the rank-nullity theorem, it follows that  $\dim \ker A^k = \dim \ker A = \dim \ker A^*$ . Since  $\operatorname{Ker} A^* \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} A$  (by  $A^kA^* = A$ ) and  $\operatorname{Ker} A \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} A^k$ , we obtain

$$\operatorname{Ker} A^* = \operatorname{Ker} A^k = \operatorname{Ker} A. \tag{1}$$

Next,  $A^k A^* A^{k-1} = A \cdot A^{k-1} = A^k$ , so  $A^k (A^* A^{k-1} - I_n) = O_n$ , then  $A^* (A^* A^{k-1} - I_n) = O_n$ , by (1), hence

$$(A^*)^2 A^{k-1} = A^*. (2)$$

For k = 3, (2) becomes  $(A^*)^2 A^2 = A^*$ , so  $A = ((A^*)^2 A^2)^* = (A^*)^2 A^2 = A^*$ , which means that the statement (\*) is true.

For k = 4, (2) becomes  $(A^*)^2 A^3 = A^*$ , so  $(A^*)^2 A^4 A^* = (A^*)^2 A^3 \cdot AA^* = A^*AA^*$ . At the same time,  $(A^*)^2 A^4 A^* = (A^*)^2 A$ , so  $(A^*)^2 A = A^*AA^*$ , which leads to  $(A^*)^2 A^2 = (A^*A)^2$ . With  $B = A^*A - AA^*$ , we have  $B^* = B$  and

$$\operatorname{Tr} BB^* = \operatorname{Tr} B^2 = \operatorname{Tr} (A^*A - AA^*)^2 = 2 \left( \operatorname{Tr} (A^*A)^2 - \operatorname{Tr} \left( (A^*)^2 A^2 \right) \right) = 0,$$

hence  $B = O_n$ . This proves that  $A^*A = AA^*$  (i.e., A is normal), so A is unitarily diagonalizable,  $A = U^*DU$ ,  $D = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$  with  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $U \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  with  $U^{-1} = U^*$ . Then  $A^* = U^*\overline{D}U$ , and  $A^4A^* = A$  becomes  $D^4\overline{D} = D$ , which means that  $\lambda_i^4\overline{\lambda_i} = \lambda_i$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . It follows that  $\lambda_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , so  $\overline{D} = D$ , therefore  $A^* = A$ , which means that the statement (\*) is true.

**Second method.** We continue from relation (1) (from the first method).

It is true in general, for any matrix  $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , that  $\operatorname{Ker} A^* \perp \operatorname{Im} A$  [indeed, if  $Y \in \operatorname{Ker} A^*$  and  $Z = AX \in \operatorname{Im} A$ , then  $\langle Z, Y \rangle = \langle AX, Y \rangle = \langle X, A^*Y \rangle = \langle X, O \rangle = 0$ ].

Next, by (1), it follows that  $\operatorname{Ker} A \perp \operatorname{Im} A$ , so  $\mathbb{C}^n = \operatorname{Ker} A \oplus \operatorname{Im} A$ .

Consider an orthonormal basis in Ker A and an orthonormal basis in Im A, which together give an orthonormal basis in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $A = U^*A_1U$ , where  $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} B & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix}$  with  $B \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$  invertible, and  $U \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  with  $U^{-1} = U^*$ . Then the relation  $A^kA^* = A$  becomes  $B^kB^* = B$ , hence  $B^* = (B^{-1})^{k-1}$ . From the Cayley-Hamilton theorem, it follows that  $B^{-1} = f(B)$  for some polynomial f of degree at most n-1, so  $B^* = (f(B))^{k-1}$ , which leads to  $B^*B = BB^*$  (B is normal). Just like in the previous approach, B is unitarily diagonalizable,  $B = V^*DV$ ,  $D = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_m)$  with  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_m \neq 0$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$  with  $V^{-1} = V^*$ . Then  $B^* = V^*\overline{D}V$ , and the relation  $B^kB^* = B$  becomes  $D^k\overline{D} = D$ , which leads to  $\lambda_i^{k-1}\overline{\lambda_i} = 1$ , for all i. It follows that  $|\lambda_i| = 1$  and  $\lambda_i^{k-2} = 1$ , for all i. When k = 3 or k = 4, then  $\lambda_i \in \{-1,1\}$  for all i, so  $\overline{D} = D$ , therefore  $B^* = B$ , then  $A^* = A$ , which means that the statement (\*) is true.

Conclusion:  $k \in \{1, 3, 4\}$ .

## Marking scheme:

1. Solve case $k = 1$
2. Eliminate $k=2$ and $k \geq 5$
3. Find the relation $\operatorname{Ker} A^* = \operatorname{Ker} A^k = \operatorname{Ker} A$
Now, we solve cases $k \in \{3,4\}$ with two methods.
First method
1. Find the relation $(A^*)^2 A^{k-1} = A^*$
2. Solve case $k=3$
3. Solve case $k = 4$
Second method
1. $\mathbb{C}^n = \operatorname{Ker} A \oplus \operatorname{Im} A$
2. Consider an orthonormal basis in Ker $A$ and an orthonormal basis in Im $A$ using these basis to write $A = U^*A_1U$ , where $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} B & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix}$ with $B \in \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ invertible
1p
3. Find B is normal and therefore $B^* = B$ from which the conclusion follows for $k \in \{3,4\}$

